

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/716,281	11/18/2003		Heinrich Pajunk	2368.153	6059
7590 07/22/2005			EXAMINER		
Stephan A. Pe	endorf		WILLIAMS, CATHERINE SERKE		
Pendorf & Cutl 5111 Memorial		ìv	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Tampa, FL 33634-7356				3763	
				D. TT. 14. W. TD. 05.05.00.0	

DATE MAILED: 07/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Astics O	10/716,281	PAJUNK ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Catherine S. Williams	3763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nations of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	l. 3.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile or ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5) 🗀	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and allowed.	rawn from consideration.	•				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•	·				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. Certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the principle application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) 🔲 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 or No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 4-6,8 and 10 are objected to because of the following informalities:

-claim 4 recites "the surface" which should be amended to -a surface--,

-claim 5 recites "the breadth" which should be amended to -a breadth--,

-claim 6 recites "the surface" which should be amended to -a surface--,

-claim 8 recites "the one" which should be amended to -a one--, and

-claim 10 recites "the pin" which should be amended to -the plug—.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,8-9 and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bierman (USPN 4,711,636). Bierman discloses an adhesive bandage (see 5:9), a securing element (34,42) and a carrier element (40). The securing element includes a first coupling opening (50) which is releaseably connectable with a second coupling plug/pin (62,64) on the carrier element. See figure 4. Element 28 (filter) is secured on the carrier element via a clamping function. See figure 5.

Art Unit: 3763

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bierman (USPN 5354282). Bierman discloses an adhesive bandage (base pas), a securing element (16b,124) and a carrier element (20b). The securing element includes a first coupling element (92) which is releaseably connectable with a second coupling element (20a) on the carrier element. See figure 8. The securing element includes openings and gaps on a bar (128). See figure 10.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bierman (USPN '282 and '636, respectively). Bierman meets the claim limitations as described above but fails to include the bars and the plug/opening having the claimed shape. However, the Federal Circuit has held, where the only difference between the prior art and the claims was a recitation of relative dimension/size/proportion of the claimed device and a device having the claimed relative dimensions would not perform differently that the prior art device, the claimed device was not patentably distinct from the prior art device.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. USPNs 4,419,094 and 5,944,696.

Art Unit: 3763

3763

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Catherine S. Williams whose telephone number is 571-272-4970.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas D. Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Catherine S. Williams

July 20, 2005